

Let \mathcal{C} be a class of f.g. R -modules, s.t. \mathcal{C} is closed under

•) direct summands (if $M \in \mathcal{C}$, $N \subseteq M$ mod- R and $M = N \oplus X$, then $N \in \mathcal{C}$)

•) isomorphisms ($M \in \mathcal{C}$, $N \subseteq M$ mod- R w. $N \cong M \Rightarrow N \in \mathcal{C}$)

•) direct sums ($M, N \in \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow M \oplus N \in \mathcal{C}$).

Exm: $\mathcal{C} = \{\text{f.g. } R\text{-modules}\}, \{\text{finite length } R\text{-modules}\}, \{\text{f.g. proj. } R\text{-modules}\}$

Def: \mathcal{C} has the **KRSA property** if every module in \mathcal{C} has a unique decomposition into indecomposables.

Cor 8.8: If R is noetherian and $\text{End}(M)$ is local for each indec. $M \in \mathcal{C}$, then \mathcal{C} has KRSA [P8.1+T8.7].

Lemma 8.9 (Fitting) If M_R is a finite-length module and $f \in \text{End}(M_R)$,

then $M = \ker(f^n) \oplus \text{im}(f^n)$ for all suff. large n .

Proof: The chains $M \supseteq \text{im}(f) \supseteq \text{im}(f^2) \supseteq \dots$ and

$$0 \subseteq \ker(f) \subseteq \ker(f^2) \subseteq \dots$$

stabilize, bec. M_R is noetherian and artinian [L2.9].

Let $n \geq 1$ be s.t. $\text{im}(f^n) = \text{im}(f^{n+1}) = \dots$, $\ker(f^n) = \ker(f^{n+1}) = \dots$

Claim: $M = \ker(f^n) \oplus \text{im}(f^n)$.

•) Let $a \in \ker(f^n) \cap \text{im}(f^n) \Rightarrow a = f^n(b)$, $b \in M$

$$0 = f^n(a) = f^{2n}(b) \xrightarrow{\ker f^n = \ker f^{2n}} 0 = f^n(b) = a.$$

•) Let $a \in M \Rightarrow f^n(a) = f^{2n}(b)$ for some $b \in M$

$$\Rightarrow f^n(a - f^n(b)) = 0 \Rightarrow a - f^n(b) \in \ker(f^n) \Rightarrow a \in \ker(f^n) + \text{im}(f^n) \quad \square$$

Prop 8.10 If M is an indecomposable module of finite length, then

$E := \text{End}(M_R)$ is local w. nil maximal ideal $J(E)$.

Proof: By P8.5 it suffices to show that every $f \in E \setminus E^\times$ is nilpotent.

Let $f \in E \setminus E^\times$, and let $n > 1$ be s.t. $M = \ker(f^n) \oplus \text{im}(f^n)$ [L8.9]

M indecomposable $\Rightarrow \ker(f^n) = 0$ or $\text{im}(f^n) = 0$.

If $\ker(f^n) = 0$, then $\text{im}(f^n) = M$, so $f^n \in E^\times$ ∇ .

So $\text{im}(f^n) = 0 \Rightarrow \ker(f^n) = M \Rightarrow f^n = 0$. \square

Cor 8.11 (Krull-Schmidt) If M_R is a finite-length module, then

$M \cong M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n$ with indecomposable M_i . The decomposition is unique up to

order and isomorphism and each M_i is strongly indecomposable.

Proof: P8.1 (Existence), and P8.10 + T8.7 (Uniqueness). \square

Cor 8.12 The conclusion of C8.11 holds for f.g. modules over right
ordinal rings (in particular, over f.d.-algebras)

Proof: F.g. right modules over right ordinal rings have finite length [C3.13]. \square

Cor 8.13 Let $0 \neq R$ be right ordinal.

Then R local $\Leftrightarrow R$ has only trivial idempotents.

Proof: " \Rightarrow " P8.6

" \Leftarrow ": R_R has finite length, $\text{End}(R_R) \cong R$

By assumption R_R is indecomposable [L8.3] $\stackrel{\text{P8.10}}{\Rightarrow} R$ local. \square

8.3 KRSA in the complete local case

Exm: KRSA fails over comm. noeth. local domains i.g.

$$A := \mathbb{C}[x, y, z] / (y^2 + x^3 - x^2)$$

localizing at $(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$ gives $R := A_{(\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})}$ local noeth. domain w. quotient field K , max. ideal $\mathfrak{m} = (\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z})$.

$u := \frac{\bar{y}}{\bar{x}} \in K \setminus R$ satisfies $u^2 = 1 - \bar{x} \Rightarrow u$ integral over R

$$S := R[u] = R + Ru \cong R[t] / (t^2 + \bar{x} - 1)$$

$$S/\mathfrak{m}S \cong R[t] / (\mathfrak{m}, t^2 + \bar{x} - 1) = R/\mathfrak{m}[t] / (t^2 - 1) \cong R/\mathfrak{m} \times R/\mathfrak{m}$$

$\Rightarrow S$ has 2 max. ideals: $\mathfrak{M}_1 = (\mathfrak{m}, t-1)$, $\mathfrak{M}_2 = (\mathfrak{m}, t+1)$

$$\mathfrak{M}_1 \cap R = \mathfrak{M}_2 \cap R = \mathfrak{m}$$

$$\mathfrak{m} \not\supseteq (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \not\supseteq \underline{0} \Rightarrow \text{ht}(\mathfrak{m}) \geq 2$$

C.A. \Rightarrow $\text{ht}(\mathfrak{M}_i) \geq 2 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_i$ not principal (Krull's Principal Ideal Thm)
Cohen-Seidenberg

$$\mathfrak{M}_1 + \mathfrak{M}_2 = S \Rightarrow \exists \text{ SES} \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{M}_2 \rightarrow S \rightarrow 0 \quad (S\text{-Mod})$$

$$S_S^{\text{free}} \Rightarrow \text{split} \Rightarrow S \oplus (\mathfrak{M}_1 \cap \mathfrak{M}_2) \cong \mathfrak{M}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{M}_2 \quad \text{in } \underline{B\text{-Mod}} \text{ and } \underline{R\text{-Mod}}$$

Each of $S, \mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2, \mathfrak{M}_1, \mathfrak{M}_2$ is indecomposable in $\underline{R\text{-Mod}}$ (submodules of K)

Claim: $(\mathfrak{M}_i)_R \neq S_R$

If $\psi: S_R \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathfrak{M}_i)_R$, then $\exists \lambda \in K^\times: \psi(x) = \lambda x \quad \forall x \in S$ (Exc.)

$\Rightarrow S_S \cong (\mathfrak{M}_i)_S \quad \nabla \mathfrak{M}_i$ non-principal as S -ideal $\quad \emptyset$

I-adic completion R ring, $I \trianglelefteq R$ (e.g. $R = \mathbb{Z}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$, $I = (p)$)

Quotient rings:

$$\dots \longrightarrow R/I^3 \longrightarrow R/I^2 \longrightarrow R/I \longrightarrow R/R$$

form an inverse system

Inverse Limit (= colimit):

$$\hat{R} := \hat{R}_I := \varprojlim_n R/I^n := \left\{ (a_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \prod_{n \geq 0} R/I^n : \forall m > n, a_m + I = a_n + I \right\}$$

is a ring (I-adic completion of R)

$j_I: R \rightarrow \hat{R}$, $a \mapsto (a)_{n \geq 0}$ is a ring hom.

Fact (Krull's Intersection Theorem) If R is comm. noetherian

and $I \not\subseteq R$, then $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n = 0$, so $\ker(j_I) = 0$ and $R \hookrightarrow \hat{R}$.

Def. R is I-adically complete if j_I is an isomorphism ($R = \hat{R}$)

Exm: i) \mathbb{Z} , $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ are not p -adically complete, $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{p\mathbb{Z}} = \hat{\mathbb{Z}}_{(p)} = \mathbb{Z}_p$

ii) $\mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle$ not (x) -adically complete, completion $\mathbb{C}\llbracket x \rrbracket$

Idea: elements of I^n are "small" for large n .

If $\bigcap_{n \geq 0} I^n = 0$, there is a metric d_I on R (fix $0 < c < 1$):

$$d_I(x, y) := \inf \{ c^n : x - y \in I^n \}$$

Then \hat{R} is the completion of R w.r.t. d_I in the sense of

Cauchy sequences.

If $M \in \text{Mod-}R$, similarly $\hat{M} := \hat{M}_I := \varprojlim_n M/MI^n$

Def: R ring, $I \trianglelefteq R$. Then idempotents lift modulo I if

\forall idempotent $\bar{e} \in R/I \exists$ idempotent $e \in R$ s.t. $e+I = \bar{e}$.

Exm: $\mathbb{Z}/6\mathbb{Z}$ has idempotents $\{\bar{0}, \bar{1}, \bar{3}, \bar{4}\}$ but only $\bar{0}, \bar{1}$

lift to idempotents of \mathbb{Z} !

Note: If $R = \hat{R}_I$ then $I \in \mathcal{J}(R)$

[If $x \in I$, then $1-x$ has inverse $1+x+x^2+\dots \in \hat{R}$]

Thm 8.14: $I \trianglelefteq R$

(1) If I is nil, idempotents lift modulo I

(2) If $R = \hat{R}_I$, idempotents lift modulo I

Proof (1) Let $a \in R$ be s.t. $\bar{a} := a+I$ is idempotent, $b := 1-a$.

$$\Rightarrow ab = ba = a - a^2 \in I \Rightarrow \exists m \geq 1: (ab)^m = 0$$

$$1 = (a+b)^{2m} = \sum_{n=0}^{2m} \binom{2m}{n} a^n b^{2m-n} = \underbrace{\sum_{n=m}^{2m} \binom{2m}{n} a^n b^{2m-n}}_{=: e} + \underbrace{\sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \binom{2m}{n} a^n b^{2m-n}}_{=: f}$$

$$a^m b^m = b^m a^m = 0 \Rightarrow ef = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \underline{e} = e \cdot 1 = e(e+f) = \underline{e}^2$$

$$e+I \stackrel{\substack{\uparrow \\ ab \in I}}{=} a^{2m} + I = a+I.$$

(2) Let $\bar{a}_1 \in R/I$ be idempotent ($\bar{a}_0 := 0$)

Inductively, $\forall n \geq 2 \exists$ idempotent $\bar{a}_n \in R/I^n$ s.t. $\bar{a}_n + I^{n-1} = \bar{a}_{n-1} \in R/I^{n-1}$

(since $R/I^{n-1} \cong (R/I^n)/(I^{n-1}/I^n)$ and I/I^n is nil, use (1))

Then $(a_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \hat{R}$ works □

Lemma 8.15 Let R be a comm. ring, S a module-finite R -algebra.

Then $J(R)S \subseteq J(S)$

Proof: Let M_S be simple. Suffices to show: $M J(R)S = 0$.

$M J(R)$ is an S -submodule of M (since $M J(R)S \subseteq M S J(R) \subseteq M S(R)$)

$\Rightarrow M J(R) \in \{M, 0\}$,

Since S_R is p.g., also M_R is p.g. $\xrightarrow{\text{Nakayama}} M J(R) = 0$. □

Prop 8.16 R comm. noeth. ring, $I \subseteq R$, $R = \hat{R}_I$. If S is a module-finite

R -algebra, then S is I -adically complete ($S \cong \varprojlim_n S/I^n$)

Proof: $j: S \rightarrow \hat{S}$, $N = \ker(j) = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} S I^n$

Krull's Intersection Theorem $\Rightarrow N = N I \xrightarrow[\text{Nakayama}]{I \in J(R)} N = 0$.

Surjectivity: Let $S = \langle s_1, \dots, s_m \rangle_R$, $(\bar{a}_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \varprojlim_n S/I^n$,

$a_n \in S$.

$\Rightarrow a_{n+1} - a_n = \sum_{j=1}^m s_j \lambda_{nj}$, $\lambda_{nj} \in I^n$

$a_1 = \sum_j s_j \gamma_{1j}$, $\gamma_{1j} \in R$

$\Rightarrow a_n = a_1 + (a_2 - a_1) + \dots + (a_n - a_{n-1})$

$= \sum_{j=1}^m s_j (\underbrace{\gamma_{1j} + \lambda_{1j} + \dots + \lambda_{n-1,j}}_{=: \gamma_{nj}})$

$y_{n,j} \rightarrow y_j$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (in \hat{R}) since $\lambda_{n,j} \in I^n$.

$$a := \sum_{j=1}^m s_j y_j \Rightarrow a - a_n = \sum_{j=1}^m s_j (y_j - y_{n,j}) \in SI^n. \quad \square$$

Def. A ring R is **semilocal** if $R/J(R)$ is semisimple

Thm 8.17 Let R be a commutative noeth. semilocal ring that is $J(R)$ -adically complete. If $0 \neq S$ is a module-finite R -algebra, with only trivial idempotents, then S is local.

Proof: Let $I = J(R)$. By 8.15, $SI \in J(S)$

Consider $S \xrightarrow{\varphi} S/SI \xrightarrow{\psi} S/J(S)$

R/I semisimple, S/SI module finite over $R/I \Rightarrow S/SI$ artinian

$\Rightarrow J(S/SI) = J(S)/SI$ is nilpotent [T3.6]

\Rightarrow idempotents lift along ψ [T8.14(1)]

By T8.14(2), P8.16 idempotents lift along φ

\Rightarrow idempotents lift modulo $J(S)$.

$S/J(S)$ is semisimple [T3.8]. Since S has only trivial idempotents,

$S/J(S)$ has only trivial idempotents $\xrightarrow{\text{Wedderburn-Artin}}$ $S/J(S)$ is a division ring. \square

Thm 8.18 Let R be a comm. noetherian semilocal ring that is

$J(R)$ -adically complete. If S is a module-finite R -algebra,

then the class of p.p. S -modules has the KRSA property.

(Every \mathbb{P}_R S -module decomposes uniquely into indecomposables)

Proof. By [8.8] it suffices: if M_S is indecomposable, $E := \text{End}(M_S)$ is local. E has only trivial idempotents [8.3]. Since S_R is \mathbb{P}_R ,

also M_R is \mathbb{P}_R , hence $\text{End}(M_R)$ is module-finite over R .

Since $\text{End}(M_S)$ is an R -submodule, E is a module-finite R -algebra.

By 78.17, E is local. □